



# WELCOME to the *Obesity Care in All Ages ECHO*

*Session 1, Why Obesity is a Disease, May 13, 2025*

*This ECHO is supported by the Walter and Carole Young Center for Digestive Health*

## Series Learning Objectives

- Describe obesity as a chronic disease, including evidence-based methods for evaluation and treatment
- Effectively communicate with patients about the health implications of obesity and its available treatment options
- Cultivate skills to effectively assess and treat patients with obesity in various care settings
- Identify when and how to refer patients to appropriate specialized obesity care services


## Series Sessions

Date	Session Title
5/13/2025	<a href="#"><u>Why Obesity is a Disease</u></a>
6/10/2025	<a href="#"><u>Approach to the Patient with Obesity</u></a>
7/8/2025	<a href="#"><u>Optimizing the Use of Lifestyle-based Obesity Care</u></a>
8/12/2025	<a href="#"><u>How to Use Anti-Obesity Medications Effectively (GLP-1 agonist)</u></a>
9/9/2025	<a href="#"><u>How to Use Anti-Obesity Medications Effectively (Non GLP-1 agonist)</u></a>
9/23/2025	<a href="#"><u>Approach to the Pediatric Patient with Obesity – AAP Clinical Practice Guidelines</u></a>
10/7/2025	<a href="#"><u>How to Use Endoscopic Therapy Effectively</u></a>
10/21/2025	Pediatric Anti-Obesity Medications and Bariatric Surgery
11/4/2025	Metabolic-Bariatric Surgery: Who, When, Why, and Which One
11/18/2025	Improving Equitable Access to Obesity Care

# Project ECHO (Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes)

- All teach, all learn.
- ECHO is a telementoring model that uses virtual technology to support case-based learning and to engage the wisdom and experience of all attending.
- Highly Interactive.

## Components of ECHO:





# Today's Program

- Brief housekeeping
- Didactic: Why Obesity is a Disease – Elizabeth Honigsberg, MD, MPH
- Role Play: Sarah Finn, MD and Abbey Berge-Clogston
- Discussion
- Summary
- Up Next

## Housekeeping Notes

- Pre course survey: <https://redcap.hitchcock.org/redcap/surveys/?s=EA47L8LEDJ43JTDN>
- Raise virtual hand or enter comments in chat at any time. We will call on you when it works. Please mute otherwise.
- To protect individual privacy, please use non-identifying information when discussing cases.
- We will be recording the didactic part of these sessions. *Participating in these session is understood as consent to be recorded. Thank you!*
- Closed Captioning will be enabled during sessions
- Questions to ECHO Tech Support thru personal CHAT or [ECHO@hitchcock.org](mailto:ECHO@hitchcock.org)


## CME/CNE

- One hour of free CME/CNE is available for every session attended, up to 10 sessions.
- Track participation via [DH iECHO site](#)
- A link will be provided at the end of the course to submit your attendance and claim your CME/CNE

# ECHO Participant Demographics

## Total Registrants: 193

Professional Identities	
Nurse	62
Physician	44
Dietitians and Nutritionists	26
Administrator	9
Behavioral Health Professional	8
Physician Assistant/Medical Assistant	10
Other healthcare professional	16
Pharmacist	4
Patient navigator/healthcare educator	5
Child Development	2



# Core Panel

- Abigail Berge-Clogston – Program Manager
- Amanda Boyd, MPH – Health Coach, Certified Personal Trainer
- Auden McClure, MD, MPH – Staff Physician, Pediatric Weight Center
- Charles Brackett, MD, MPH – Staff Physician, General Internal Medicine
- Elaine Banerjee, MD, MPH – Staff Physician, DH Weight Center
- Elizabeth Honigsberg, MD, MPH – Staff Physician, DH Weight Center
- Hannah Brilling, RDN, LD – Clinical Dietician
- Kimberly Dovin, MD – Staff Physician, DH Weight Center
- Kristin Wheeler, RN – Nurse, Weight Center
- Sarah Finn, MD – Interim Section Chief, DH Weight Center

# *Echo Session 1*

## Why Obesity is a Disease.

Elizabeth Honigsberg MD MPH FACS DABOM

May 13<sup>th</sup>, 2025

I have no financial interests or relationships  
to disclose.

There are four main objectives for today's discussion.

<b>ASSESS</b>	The current state of the obesity pandemic worldwide
<b>UNDERSTAND</b>	Obesity as a neurobiological/neuroendocrine disease
<b>APPRECIATE</b>	The multitude of factors that lead to the development of obesity
<b>REVIEW</b>	The various criteria for diagnosing the disease of obesity




# The current state of obesity worldwide.




Rates of men and women (20 years +) living with “high BMI” are increasing worldwide.

Men



Women



**Key** ■ BMI 25<-30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> ■ BMI 30<-35 kg/m<sup>2</sup> ■ BMI 35+ kg/m<sup>2</sup>

Source: NCD-RisC (2024) and World Obesity Federation projections

By 2030, THREE BILLION adults will have “high BMI”, with 17% of men and 22% of women estimated to have BMI > 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (*and the world is NOT prepared*).


Yearly: 5  
million/41  
million adult  
deaths  
due to NCDs  
related to high  
BMIs.

4 million  
deaths from  
T2DM, CVA,  
CAD, CA.



Obesity rates are  
doubling across the  
globe,  
TRIPLING in low-  
income countries.

In the United States, the prevalence of “normal” weight and overweight has declined since 2001, while all obesity categories have increased over this timeframe.



The largest relative increase of > 200%!

This global systemic failure to slow the obesity pandemic must end.



- To do so, we must end:
  - The misunderstanding
  - The underinvestment
  - The fragmentation
  - The stigmatization

There is a fundamental misunderstanding about obesity...





**THIS DOES NOT CAUSE OBESITY**




**THIS DOES NOT TREAT OBESITY**




Both food intake and fat mass/set point are highly regulated by the brain.





Various hunger and satiety hormones signal to the brain to affect food intake.



**The brain sets AND defends a fat mass (set point) for everyone.**

**Genetics**



**Sedentary lifestyle**

**Circadian disruption/sleep disruption**

**Chronic stress**


**Social determinants of health**

**Altered food supply**


**Obesogenic medications**

**In obesity, that fat mass/set point is abnormally high.**

# We have metabolically adapted to defend our fat mass.



What drives the development of obesity?





The definition of obesity is evolving as is the diagnostic criteria.



WHO: abnormal or excessive fat accumulation that presents a risk to health.

CDC: BMI > 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>

Obesity Medicine Association: A chronic, relapsing multi-factorial, neurobehavioral disease, wherein an increase in body fat promotes adipose tissue dysfunction and abnormal fat mass physical forces, resulting in adverse metabolic, biomechanical, and psychosocial health consequences.

The Lancet Commission 2025: provided explicit characterization of the illness intrinsically caused by excess adiposity and establish objective criteria for diagnosis.



## OBESITY

Excess fat mass +/- abnormal distribution or function




## PRECLINICAL OBESITY

At increased risk of developing obesity related organ dysfunction, limitation of daily activities, or both



## CLINICAL OBESITY

Chronic systemic illness with dysfunction of the tissues, organs, the entire individual



## The objectives for today's session.

<b>ASSESS</b>	The worldwide pandemic of obesity continues to worsen and low and middle income countries are least prepared.
<b>UNDERSTAND</b>	Obesity is a chronic, relapsing neurobiological and neurohormal disease whereby the affected individual CANNOT lower the set point with diet and exercise alone.
<b>APPRECIATE</b>	Both internal and external factors contribute to the development of obesity
<b>REVIEW</b>	Diagnosis still largely relies on BMI, however criteria is changing to reflect the greater importance of metabolic/orthopedic/psychosocial health than BMI alone.

THANK YOU!

# Role Play



# WELCOME to the *Obesity Care in All Ages ECHO*

*Session 2, Approach to the Patient with Obesity, June 10<sup>th</sup>, 2025*

*This ECHO is supported by the Walter and Carole Young Center for Digestive Health*

# Today's Program

- Brief housekeeping
- Didactic: Approach to the Patient with Obesity – Kimberly Dovin, MD
- Case Discussion
- Summary
- Up Next



# APPROACH TO THE PATIENT WITH OBESITY

*Kimberly Dovin, MD*

*Echo Series: Obesity Care in All Ages*

*Session #2*

*June 10, 2025*

## Goals

How to talk to patients about weight



Learn to take an obesity specific history



Understand the evaluation of obesity to identify complications




## Obesity Stigma and Bias

“Society regularly regards [persons with obesity] not as innocent victims, but as architects of their own ill health, personally responsible for their weight problems because of **laziness** and **overeating**.”

-Rebecca Puhl and Chelsea Heuer








## Obesity Stigma - Medicine



- 2<sup>nd</sup> only to family in perceived bias
- Less time/discussion
- Less evaluation/screening



## Evaluation



Starting the Conversation



Take a weight history




Assess symptoms and signs



Set Goals



(Re-)educate



## Weight History

- “What is the story of your weight”
  - Did they have early childhood obesity (<5yo)
  - Stable adult weight?
  - Did they have any large gains and what might have been happening at that time?
  - Has it been gradual through adulthood?
  - How has excess weight impacted their life?

## Symptoms of Obesity

- Pervasive thoughts of food
- Excess or no hunger
- Abnormal satiation/satiety
- Craving
- Pain or discomfort
- Difficulty with daily activities due to size
- Fatigue
- SOB
- Low body image



## Evaluation

### Physical Exam

- Gen: central, gynecoid, generalized adiposity.
- VS, Waist and Neck circumference
- HEENT: Mallampati? Moon facies?
- Neck: buffalo hump, thyroid?
- CV: evidence of arrhythmia?
- Abd: hepatomegaly?
- Ext: edema, cuffing?
- Gait: antalgic?
- Skin: acanthosis, hidradenitis, acne, hirsutism, abdominal striae, tender subcutaneous nodules, intertrigo



## Evaluation (continued)

### Laboratory evaluation

- CBC, CMP
- TSH
- Lipid panel
- FBS, A1c
- Vitamin D

### Complications

- Obesogenic medications
- MASLD/MASH – Fib4 calculation
- OSA
- Eating disorders
- Contraindications to AOMs

## Lipedema

Kruppa P, Georgiou I, et al PMID:  
32762835; PMCID: PMC7465366.

Stages of lipedema



Classification by stage

1) thickened subcutis, soft, with small, palpable nodules, skin surface still smooth

2) thickened subcutis, soft, some larger nodules, skin surface uneven

3) thickened subcutis, hardened, with large nodules, disfiguring fat deposition

Types of lipedema



Classification by morphology

I) buttock

II) thigh

III) entire lower limb

IV) arm\*

V) leg

\* Type IV is often associated with type II or III.

## Goals of Treatment

- ~~BMI < 25~~
- Improvement in complications
- Symptom Resolution
- QOL
- BMI <30?
- BMI  $\geq 23$





## Summary – Evaluating the Patient with Obesity



Approach patients  
with compassion



Take a disease-  
specific H&P



Set non-scale goals  
for treatment

- Kruppa P, Georgiou I, Biermann N, Prantl L, Klein-Weigel P, Ghods M. Lipedema-Pathogenesis, Diagnosis, and Treatment Options. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* 2020 Jun 1;117(22-23):396-403. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2020.0396. PMID: 32762835; PMCID: PMC7465366.
- Obesity Medicine Association. Pediatric Obesity Algorithm. <https://obesitymedicine.org/resources/obesity-algorithm/>. (Accessed = May 31, 2025)
- Pearl RL, Puhl RM, Himmelstein MS, Pinto AM, Foster GD. Weight Stigma and Weight-Related Health: Associations of Self-Report Measures Among Adults in Weight Management. *Ann Behav Med.* 2020 Nov 1;54(11):904-914. doi: 10.1093/abm/kaaa026. PMID: 32333673; PMCID: PMC7646152.
- Puhl RM, Brownell KD. Confronting and coping with weight stigma: an investigation of overweight and obese adults. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2006 Oct;14(10):1802-15. doi: 10.1038/oby.2006.208. PMID: 17062811.
- Puhl RM, Heuer CA. The stigma of obesity: a review and update. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2009 May;17(5):941-64. doi: 10.1038/oby.2008.636. Epub 2009 Jan 22. PMID: 19165161.
- Westbury, S., Oyeboode, O., van Rens, T. *et al.* Obesity Stigma: Causes, Consequences, and Potential Solutions. *Curr Obes Rep* **12**, 10–23 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13679-023-00495-3>



# WELCOME to the *Obesity Care in All Ages ECHO*

*Session 3, Optimizing the Use of Lifestyle-based Obesity Care, July 8<sup>th</sup>, 2025*

*This ECHO is supported by the Walter and Carole Young Center for Digestive Health*

# Today's Program

- Brief housekeeping
- Didactic: Optimizing the Use of Lifestyle-based Obesity Care – Shelby Sullivan, MD, FACG, FACG, DABOM
- Case Discussion
- Summary
- Up Next



# Optimizing the use of Lifestyle-Based Obesity Care

*Shelby Sullivan MD, FACP, FACC, DABOM*

*Director, Endoscopic Bariatric and Metabolic Program*

*Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center and Geisel School of Medicine*



## Disclosure

The following planning committee member(s), speaker(s), author(s) or anyone in a position to control the content for this activity have reported the following financial relationship(s) with ineligible company(ies). All of the relevant financial relationships listed for these individuals have been mitigated.

Sarah Finn, MD ~ was a consultant to Harbor Capital (relationship has ended).

## Disclosure:

Shelby Sullivan, MD ~ is a consultant to Allurion, Bioling, Pentax Medical, and Olympus Corporation. She also has grant/research support from Fractyl.


Dr. Sullivan was a consultant to Fractyl (relationship has ended) and had grant/research support from Allurion (relationship has ended).

Other planning committee member(s), speaker(s), activity director(s), author(s) or anyone in a position to control the content for this activity have no relevant financial relationship(s) with any ineligible company(ies) to disclose.

# Disclosures


- Shelby Sullivan, M.D. has financial interests to disclose.
- Research Support / Grants Last 24 Months
  - Allurion Technologies, Fractyl Laboratories
- Consulting / Employment Last 24 Months
  - Allurion Technologies, Fractyl Laboratories, Biolinq, Pentax , Olympus
    - Notes

## Comprehensive Obesity Treatment



# Comparison of Varying Macronutrient Composition: Pounds Lost Study


Differing macronutrient composition of the diet did not affect overall weight loss




# Comparison of Varying Macronutrient Composition: Pounds Lost Study

The number of visits with the study team for lifestyle therapy was directly correlated with weight loss


**A Low-Fat, Average-Protein**




**B Low-Fat, High-Protein**



**C High-Fat, Average-Protein**




**D High-Fat, High-Protein**




## Lifestyle Therapy after Bariatric Surgery

**Table 3** Forest plot of standardized mean differences in a random-effects model for percentage of excess weight loss in treatment and control group patients 6–12 months after start of the intervention

Study or subgroup	Intervention			No intervention			Weight	Standardized mean differences IV, random, 95% CI	Standardized mean differences IV, random, 95% CI
	M	SD	Total	M	SD	N			
Kalarchian <i>et al.</i> (2011) (44)	5.8	3.5	18	0.9	3.2	18	20.1%	1.43 (0.69, 2.17)	
Nijamkin <i>et al.</i> (2012) (38)	79.6	15.5	72	63.8	14.2	72	23.5%	1.06 (0.71, 1.41)	
Papalazarou <i>et al.</i> (2010) (41)	76.4	4.1	15	57.5	4.1	15	13.6%	4.49 (3.07, 5.90)	
Sarwer <i>et al.</i> (2012) (47)	26.1	1.5	41	23.5	1.5	43	22.3%	1.72 (1.21, 2.22)	
Tucker <i>et al.</i> (1991) (46)	55	15.9	17	48.8	17.9	15	20.5%	0.36 (−0.34, 1.06)	
Total (95% CI)			163			163	100.0%	1.60 (0.82, 2.38)	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.64; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 31.04, df = 4 ( <i>P</i> < 0.00001); I <sup>2</sup> = 87%									
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.04 ( <i>P</i> < 0.0001)									

CI, confidence interval; df, degrees of freedom; M, mean; N, number of patients; SD, standard deviation.

## Intensity of Lifestyle Therapy



Miller K. Obesity Surgery. 2016 epub ahead of print  
 Lopez-Nava G. SOARD. 2015;11:861-865  
 Sullivan S. Obesity. 2017;25(2):294-301.



## Diets with Data

Diet	Carb	Fat	Protein
LEARN	Moderate	Low	Normal
Atkins	Very Low	High	High
South Beach	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Paleo	Moderate	Low	High
Zone	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate
Pritikin/ Ornish	High	Very Low	Normal
Mediterranean	Moderate	Moderate	Normal
Keto	Very Low	High	Normal

## Common Themes

- Reduction in either the type or amount of food
- Reduce or eliminate sweets
- Reduce or eliminate sugar sweetened beverages
- Use whole grains when grain products are consumed

## Network Meta-Analysis: Comparisons of Named Diet programs

		12-mo Weight Loss, kg			
6-mo Weight Loss, kg	No diet (6 mo: 0; 12 mo: 0) <sup>a</sup>	5.16 (2.68 to 7.63)	5.70 (4.14 to 7.35)	7.25 (5.33 to 9.25)	7.27 (5.26 to 9.34)
	6.07 (4.23 to 7.84)	LEARN (6 mo: 0; 12 mo: 0.02) <sup>a</sup>	0.55 (-1.71 to 2.87)	2.10 (-0.20 to 4.47)	2.12 (-0.33 to 4.59)
	6.78 (5.50 to 8.05)	0.71 (-0.97 to 2.44)	Moderate macronutrients (6 mo: 0; 12 mo: 0) <sup>a</sup>	1.55 (0.13 to 2.95)	1.56 (-0.17 to 3.30)
	8.73 (7.27 to 10.20)	2.66 (0.93 to 4.44)	1.95 (1.13 to 2.79)	Low carbohydrate (6 mo: 0.83; 12 mo: 0.48) <sup>a</sup>	0.02 (-1.78 to 1.79)
	7.99 (6.01 to 9.92)	1.92 (-0.19 to 4.06)	1.20 (-0.42 to 2.79)	-0.74 (-2.31 to 0.78)	Low fat (6 mo: 0.17; 12 mo: 0.50) <sup>a</sup>


- 59 Article with 7286 patients
- Significant weight loss for both low-carb and low-fat diets
- Difference between named diets was small

# Mediterranean Diet: PREDIMED Study

**Table 1.** Summary of Dietary Recommendations to Participants in the Mediterranean-Diet Groups and the Control-Diet Group.

Food	Goal
<b>Mediterranean diet</b>	
Recommended	
Olive oil*	≥4 tbsp/day
Tree nuts and peanuts†	≥3 servings/wk
Fresh fruits	≥3 servings/day
Vegetables	≥2 servings/day
Fish (especially fatty fish), seafood	≥3 servings/wk
Legumes	≥3 servings/wk
Sofrito‡	≥2 servings/wk
White meat	Instead of red meat
Wine with meals (optionally, only for habitual drinkers)	≥7 glasses/wk
Discouraged	
Soda drinks	<1 drink/day
Commercial bakery goods, sweets, and pastries§	<2 servings/wk
Spread fats	<1 serving/day
Red and processed meats	<1 serving/day
<b>Low-fat diet (control)¶</b>	
Recommended	
Low-fat dairy products	≥3 servings/day
Bread, potatoes, pasta, rice	≥3 servings/day
Fresh fruits	≥3 servings/day
Vegetables	≥2 servings/day
Lean fish and seafood	≥3 servings/wk
Discouraged	
Vegetable oils (including olive oil)	≤2 tbsp/day
Commercial bakery goods, sweets, and pastries§	≤1 serving/wk
Nuts and fried snacks	≤1 serving/wk
Red and processed fatty meats	≤1 serving/wk
Visible fat in meats and soups	Always remove
Fatty fish, seafood canned in oil	≤1 serving/wk
Spread fats	≤1 serving/wk
Sofrito‡	≤2 servings/wk

**A** Primary End Point (acute myocardial infarction, stroke, or death from cardiovascular causes)



**No. at Risk**

Control diet	2450	2268	2020	1583	1268	946
Med diet, EVOO	2543	2486	2320	1987	1687	1310
Med diet, nuts	2454	2343	2093	1657	1389	1031

Weight loss at 5 years:

- Control: -0.604 kg
  - Med, EVOO: -0.88 kg
  - Med, Nuts: 0.188 kg
- HR primary Endpoint
- Med, EVOO: 0.69
  - Med, Nuts: 0.72

Estruch R. NEJM. 2018;378:e34

Estruch R. Lancet Diabetes and Endocrinology.2019;7(5):e6-17

## Meal Replacements and Odds of Achieving >5% and >10% TBWL at 1 year


	≥5% Weight Loss	≥10% Weight Loss
	OR [95% CI]	OR [95% CI]
MR diet vs diet only	2.83* [1.37, 5.86] $I^2 = 40$	1.73 [0.92, 3.26] $I^2 = 0$
MR diet + support vs diet + support	1.49* [1.08, 2.06] $I^2 = 44$	1.80* [1.12, 2.87] $I^2 = 56$
MR diet + support vs diet only	2.83* [1.37, 5.86] $I^2 = 25$	5.95* [2.12, 16.67] $I^2 = 1$
MR diet + enhanced support vs diet + support	4.32* [3.01, 6.20] $I^2 = 0$	6.63* [4.01, 10.94] $I^2 = 0$
MR diet + support vs minimal control	4.03* [1.87, 8.69] $I^2 = 82$	8.32* [2.02, 34.16] $I^2 = 93$

# Long-term Calorie Goals


- Based on start weight, gender, level of physical activity
- Goal for 1-2 pound weight loss per week
  - 500 kcal/day deficit = 1 pound per week
  - 750-1000 kcal/day deficit = 2 pounds per week
- Estimates for BMI 30-40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>:
  - 1200-1500 kcal/day women
  - 1500-1800 kcal/day men
- Comparison - Gastric Bypass
  - 500-970 kcal/ day in the first 3 months
  - 870-1420 kcal/day at the end of the first year

# Exercise Preserves Lean Muscle Tissue


## Muscle Thigh Volume



## Strength Relative to Body Weight




# Physical Activity Is Necessary for Weight Loss Maintenance




## Predictors of Success in Lifestyle Therapy

### Treatment Contacts



### Exercise






## Gym Use and Distance From Home

- Data collected from 7.5 million mobile devices by the data firm Dstillery
- Difference of only 1.4 miles between going to the gym 5 times a week vs one time per month
- Summary – even small barriers will reduce exercise

Median Exercise Sessions Per Month



## Exercise for Weight Loss and Weight Maintenance

American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology/The Obesity Society Guidelines and American Diabetes Association Guidelines

- **Weight loss and Adults with type I and type II diabetes**
  - ≥150 minutes per week moderate intensity (brisk walk)
  - Equal to ≥ 30 minutes/day most days of the week
- **Weight maintenance**
  - 200-300 minutes per week moderate intensity
  - 40-60 minutes/day most days of the week
- **Strength and flexibility**
  - Recommended as a consideration by the obesity guidelines
  - ADA Guidelines Recommend 2-3 sessions per week


Jensen, MD. Obesity. 2014;22(2):S5-S39  
Diabetes Care. 2022;45(Supplement\_1):S60-S82

Department of Health and Human Services 2018  
Physical Activity Guidelines

Age	Aerobic Activity	Muscle Strengthening
6-17	60 minutes of moderate or vigorous physical activity (PA)/day including at least 3 days of vigorous PA/wk	3 days/week and included as part of the 60 minutes of daily PA. Also include bone-loading activity
18-64	150-300 minutes of moderate PA/wk, 75 minutes of vigorous PA/wk or equivalent combination spread throughout the week	Muscle strengthening activities at moderate or greater intensity (all major muscle groups) on 2 or more days/wk
65+	Same as adults, or be as active as abilities and health conditions allow	Same as adults, but include balance training and combination activities (strength and aerobic training together)
All Ages	Sit Less. Move More	

Piercy K. JAMA. 2018;320(19):2020-2028

## Breaking the Obesity Behavior Chain



# Pillars of Behavior Modification

## Self Monitoring

- Recording intake and activities

## Problem Solving

- Identifying barriers and finding solutions

## Stimulus Control

- Avoiding triggers to eating, slowing the rate of eating

## Social Support

- Recruiting friends and family

## Cognitive Restructuring

- Thinking positively

## Relapse Prevention

- Managing episodes of overeating/weight gain


# Self-Monitoring: Independently Associated with Weight loss

## Systematic review of 22 studies

- More frequent and complete self-monitoring of food intake, exercise and body weight was consistently associated with more weight loss

## Post hoc analysis of a randomized controlled trial of 3 arms: intensive behavioral therapy (IBT) alone vs IBT + liraglutide 3.0 mg/d vs IBT vs liraglutide 3 mg/d + meal replacements


- In a linear regression model controlled for treatment group, only adherence to self-monitoring predicted weight loss at 52 weeks
- Patients who completed 100% of their food records lost 12.4 percentage points more than those who completed 0%



## Use of Food and Activity Logs

- Cue the patient on what they have already eaten in the day
- Help the patient be more mindful with food choice
- Help identify patterns
- Food logs and in person 24-hour recalls are not accurate for total energy intake
  - Very hard to estimate energy intake
  - Should only be used as a tool to guide choices


Freedhoff Y. The Lancet. 2016;338(10047):849-851



# Factors That Can Derail Lifestyle Therapy

- **Stress**
  - Home related
  - Work related
- **Lack of Sleep**
  - Not getting to bed on time
  - OSA
  - Insomnia
- **Physical Injuries**
- **Food insecurity**

May need referrals for management




# Delivering Lifestyle Therapy

- Trained Interventionist
  - Dietitian, nurse, psychologist, behavior coach, exercise professional, physician (Billing may be limited to physician, dietitian, psychologist)
- In person and over the telephone may yield similar results, less via internet only
- Intensity of lifestyle intervention does matter
  - High Intensity = 14 or more “visits” in 6 months\*
  - Moderate Intensity = 6 to 13 “visits” in 6 months\*
  - Difference between high and moderate intensity is about 5% TBWL
- Does not appear to be a difference in weight loss between individual sessions and group sessions

\*Definition of exact number of visits varies



# Five Steps to Facilitate Behavior Change



# Goal Setting

## Specific

- Names a specific action or behavior

## Measurable

- The goal is made so that it can be measured

## Attainable

- The goal can be reasonable attained

## Relevant

- The goal is relevant to the desired behavior change

## Time-Based

- The goal has a deadline for accomplishment

# What Do I Do for My Patients Without a Dietitian or Health Coach?

## Diet Recall

- Everything consumed (liquid and solid)
- You can skip this for time, but ask about snacking and meals out

**Set calorie goal with typical ranges (BMI <40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), calculate for higher BMI**

- 1200-1500 kcal/day women,
- 1500-1800 kcal/day men,

## Set Exercise Goal:

- 150 min/week moderate intensity exercise for weight loss
- 200-300 min per week for weight maintenance
- 2-3 sessions of strength/resistance exercise per week

**Discuss barriers and goals at every visit**

**At least monthly follow-up for the first 6 months**

## Reduce

- Added sugars
- Processed grains
- Animal fat (except fatty fish)

**Protein in moderation – 16-24% of calories (80-120 gm/day for most patients)**

**For MASLD or CVD patients: discuss Mediterranean diet**

## Increase

- Non-starchy Vegetables/Fruit
- Unsaturated vegetable oil (olive oil)
- Nuts (but limit total number of servings)

**Use meal replacements to help achieve dietary goals**

Jensen, MD. Obesity. 2014;22(2):S5-S39

Webb, VL. Gastroenterology. 2017;152(7):1752-1764


Mozaffarian D. Obesity. 2025. epub ahead of print

## Resources for Exercise

- <https://www.mayoclinic.org/healthy-lifestyle/fitness/in-depth/strength-training/art-20046031>
- <https://www.acefitness.org/resources/everyone/exercise-library/?srsId=AfmBOopUPYpKGr7er-aaZG6q4TdBJkKKPdVwCueAXo3MezSgDf4zWxcw>
- Apple Fitness App
- Multiple other exercise apps

# Meal Replacements

- Types
  - Shakes
  - Bars
  - Frozen Entrée
  - Patient self purchase
  - Carry in the office
- Calorie Controlled
- Stimulus controlled



# Conclusions

- Lifestyle therapy alone achieves only modest weight loss
- Lifestyle therapy maximizes weight loss with all adjunctive therapies
  - Anti-obesity medications
  - Endoscopic Bariatric Therapies
  - Bariatric Surgery
- Components
  - Diet
  - Exercise
  - Behavior Modification
- Can be done in a primary care practice – if time is limited, focus on one goal at a time



# WELCOME to the *Obesity Care in All Ages ECHO*

Session 4, How to Use Anti-Obesity Medications Effectively (GLP-1 agonist) -  
August 12<sup>th</sup>, 2025

*This ECHO is supported by the Walter and Carole Young Center for Digestive Health*

# Today's Program

- Brief housekeeping
- Didactic: How to Use Anti-Obesity Medications Effectively (GLP-1 agonist) – Elaine Banerjee, MD, MPH; Sarah Finn, MD
- Case Discussion
- Summary
- Up Next



# Speaker Slides: Obesity Treatment with Glucagon-like Peptide (GLP-1) Receptor Agonists

**Elaine S Banerjee, MD, MPH**

# Disclosures

**I have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose**

## Acknowledgements

- I appreciate the work of the ECHO team and the DH Weight Center team on this panel, especially Dr Sarah Finn and Dr Minda Gowarty for some the slides and content of this presentation

# Objectives

- By the end of this presentation, participants should be able to:
  - Identify the indications, contra-indications, and side effects of GLP-1 RA
  - Apply evidence-based pharmacological management to develop personalized care plans for patients with obesity
  - Prescribe and manage GLP-1 RA treatment for obesity
- Of note, GLP-1 RA are not the only medications for the treatment of obesity and non-GLP-1 medications will be discussed in our next session

“I shouldn’t need a medication...”



# GLP-1 Medications

## Obesity

- Semaglutide (Wegovy)
- Tirzepatide (Zepbound)
- Liraglutide (Saxenda)


## Diabetes

- Semaglutide (Ozempic, Rybelsus)
- Tirzepatide (Mounjaro)
- Liraglutide (Victoza)
- Dulaglutide (Trulicity)

## Indications

- Obesity
  - BMI  $\geq 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>
  - BMI  $\geq 27$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, AND serious complication of obesity
- Diabetes
- Zepbound: moderate-to-severe OSA
- Wegovy: CAD, PAD, CVA
- Not FDA approved: MASLD, HFpEF

# Mechanism



De Block, C et al. Tirzepatide for the treatment of adults with type 2 diabetes: an endocrine perspective. Diabetes Obesity and Metabolism 1.2023. 25: (1). 3-17.



# Mechanism



# Side-effects, Contraindications, and Precautions

## Side-effects of weight loss

- Gallstones and their complications which may result in gallstone pancreatitis
- Hair loss
- Gout flares
- Loss of lean body mass
- Loose skin

## Side Effects – Medication-related

- GI\*
  - Nausea – 16-44%
  - Constipation – 3-24%
  - Diarrhea 9-30%
  - Abdominal pain – 6-20%
  - Vomiting – 5-24%
  - Belching, GERD, & Flatulence 1-7%
- Fatigue – 5-11%
- Headache 1-17%
- Hypoglycemia – semaglutide and tirzepatide 1-6%, liraglutide 2-28%
- Injection site reaction 3-14%

## Are they too new for us to know all the side effects?

- Exenatide was approved for diabetes in 2005
- Liraglutide was approved for diabetes in 2010 and for obesity in 2014
- Semaglutide was approved for diabetes in 2017 and for obesity in 2021
- Tirzepatide was approved for diabetes in 2021, for obesity in 2023, and for obstructive sleep apnea in 2024

## Contraindications

- Personal or family hx of medullary thyroid cancer or MEN-2
- Pregnancy

UpToDate Lexidrug/Semglutide. UpToDate Lexidrug/Tirzepatide. UpToDate Lexidrug/Liraglutide. Accessed Aug 3, 2025.  
Cesta CE, Rotem R, Bateman BT, et al. Safety of GLP-1 receptor agonists and other second-line antidiabetics in early pregnancy. *JAMA Intern Med*. Doi: 10.10001/jamainternmed.2023.6663

# Precautions

- Known gallstones
  - Consider ursodiol
- Pancreatitis
- Tirzepatide & oral contraceptives


# Effectiveness



## Effectiveness – Weight - Summary

	Average Total Body Weight Loss	Difference from Lifestyle
Lifestyle	3-5%	
Liraglutide	8-11%	5-6%
Semaglutide	15-17%	10-13%
Tirzepatide	21-25%	18-20%

Sjöström L, et al. *Lancet*. 1998;352(9123):167-172; Davidson MH, et al. *JAMA*. 1999;281(3):235-242; Allison DB, et al. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2012;20(2):330-342; Gadde KM, et al. *Lancet*. 2011;377(9774):1341-1352; Greenway FL, et al. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9741):595-605; Apovian CM, et al. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2013;21(5):935-943; Wadden TA, et al. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2011;19(1):110-120; Pi-Sunyer X, et al. *N Engl J Med*.




## Effectiveness – Weight - Semaglutide

	Percentage achieving 5% weight loss	Percentage achieving 10% weight loss	Percentage achieving 15% weight loss	Percentage achieving 20% weight loss
Semaglutide (N=2,366)	86.4%	71.9%	54.6%	34.8%
Placebo (N=1,222)	37.5%	16.4%	7.4%	2.8%
Risk Ratio (95% CI)	2.2 (1.8,2.8)	4.1 (3.1,5.7)	7.1 (4.8,10.3)	11.9 (8.3,16.9)

Qin W, et al. Efficacy and safety of semaglutide 2.4mg for weight loss in overweight or obese adults without diabetes: An updated systematic review and meta-analysis including the 2-year STEP 5 trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2024;26:911-923.

# Effectiveness – Weight - Tirzepatide



Jastreboff AM, et al. Tirzepatide once weekly for the treatment of obesity. N Engl J Med 2022;387:205-16.

## Effectiveness – Quality of Life

Parameter	Tirzepatide 5 mg (N = 630)	Tirzepatide 10 mg (N = 636)	Tirzepatide 15 mg (N = 630)	Placebo (N = 643)
IWQOL-Lite-CT				
Total Score				
Baseline	64.2 (0.9)	61.9 (0.9)	63.0 (0.9)	63.2 (1.0)
Change from baseline to week 72	18.6 (0.6)	21.2 (0.6)	22.6 (0.6)	10.5 (0.7)
Change from baseline difference vs. placebo (95% CI), p value	8.1 (6.3 to 9.9)***	10.7 (8.9 to 12.5)***	12.1 (10.3 to 13.9)***	-
Physical Composite Score				
Baseline	64.0 (1.0)	61.5 (1.0)	62.7 (1.0)	63.3 (1.1)
Change from baseline to week 72	16.8 (0.7)	19.5 (0.7)	20.8 (0.7)	9.7 (0.7)
Change from baseline difference vs. placebo (95% CI), p value	7.2 (5.2 to 9.2)***	9.9 (7.9 to 11.9)***	11.1 (9.1 to 13.1)***	-
Physical Function Composite Score				
Baseline	64.4 (1.0)	61.9 (1.0)	63.3 (1.0)	64.0 (1.1)
Change from baseline to week 72	17.8 (0.7)	20.7 (0.7)	21.8 (0.7)	10.1 (0.8)
Change from baseline difference vs. placebo (95% CI), p value	7.7 (5.6 to 9.8)***	10.7 (8.6 to 12.8)***	11.7 (9.6 to 13.8)***	-
Psychosocial Composite Score				
Baseline	64.3 (1.0)	62.1 (1.0)	63.2 (1.0)	63.2 (1.0)
Change from baseline to week 72	19.6 (0.7)	22.1 (0.7)	23.6 (0.7)	11.0 (0.7)
Change from baseline difference vs. placebo (95% CI), p value	8.7 (6.7 to 10.6)***	11.2 (9.3 to 13.1)***	12.7 (10.7 to 14.6)***	-

Gudzeune KA, et al. Association between weight reduction achieved with tirzepatide and quality of life in adults with obesity: Results from the SURMOUNT-1 study. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2025;27:539-550.

# Dosing & Titration

# Dosing & Titration – General Principles

- Start at the lowest dose
- Titrate based on effect and tolerance
- Most people will need to get to the 3<sup>rd</sup> or 4<sup>th</sup> dose for effect
- Message after the 3<sup>rd</sup> shot at each dose
  - Weight
  - Side effects

## Dosing & Titration - Semaglutide

### Wegovy

- 0.25mg weekly for  $\geq 4$  weeks
- 0.5mg weekly for  $\geq 4$  weeks
- 1mg weekly for  $\geq 4$  weeks
- 1.7mg weekly for  $\geq 4$  weeks
- 2.4mg weekly

### Ozempic

- 0.25mg weekly  $\geq 4$  weeks\*
- 0.5mg weekly  $\geq 4$  weeks\*
- 1mg weekly  $\geq 4$  weeks
- 2mg weekly<sup>†</sup>

\*0.25/0.5mg adjustable dose pen with 2mg medication in the pen

<sup>†</sup> 1mg and 2mg pens are adjustable by counting clicks to get lower doses



# Dosing & Titration - Tirzepatide

## Zepbound & Mounjaro

- 2.5 mg weekly for  $\geq 4$  weeks
- 5 mg weekly for  $\geq 4$  weeks
- 7.5 mg weekly for  $\geq 4$  weeks
- 10 mg weekly for  $\geq 4$  weeks
- 12.5 mg weekly for  $\geq 4$  weeks
- 15 mg weekly

## Dosing & Titration - Liraglutide


### Saxenda

- 0.6mg daily for 1 week
- 1.2mg daily for 1 week
- 1.8mg daily for 1 week
- 2.4mg daily for 1 week
- 3mg daily

### Victoza

- 0.6mg daily for 1 week
- 1.2mg daily for 1 week
- 1.8mg daily for 1 week

## Duration



## Insurance coverage

- Medicare – does not cover any medications for obesity
  - Wegovy for CAD with hx of MI
  - Zepbound for moderate-to-severe OSA
  - \$2,000/year limit on out of pocket costs for medications
- Medicaid – state dependent
  - VT – no coverage for obesity, but may cover zepbound for OSA
  - NH – varies but some plans will cover GLP-1 RA for obesity
- Federal BCBS – tier 3 with high copay

## Insurance coverage

- Marketplace – generally not covered
- Employer-based plans are employer dependent
- Most plans will cover a GLP-1 RA for Diabetes
  - Many plans have restrictions on which medication and other treatments
  - Generally not covered for pre-diabetes or insulin resistance

# Troubleshooting

# Troubleshooting: Managing GI side effects


- Constipation
  - Hydration & fiber (increasing gradually)
  - Osmotic laxatives (miralax)
  - Stimulant laxatives only if needed
- Diarrhea – generally self limited
- Nausea
  - Small meals, eating something first thing in the morning
  - Ginger
  - Ondansetron if needed
- Belching
  - Ginger, papaya enzyme

## Common Side Effect Pattern with GLP1 Medications






# Troubleshooting: Limiting loss of lean body mass



**FIGURE 1** Muscle-related goals of obesity treatment and muscle-related complications of suboptimal treatment. Adapted from Prado et al.

Mechanick JJ. Strategies for minimizing muscle loss during use of incretin-mimetic drugs for treatment of obesity. *Obesity Reviews*. 2025;26:e13841.

# Troubleshooting: Limiting loss of lean body mass



Look M, et al. Body composition changes during weight reduction with tirzepatide in the SURMOUNT-1 study of adults with obesity or overweight. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2025;27:2720-2729.

# Troubleshooting: Limiting loss of lean body mass

- Nutrition
  - Protein
    - 0.8g – 1.5g/kg body weight
    - Bariatric: 1.5g/kg ideal body weight
    - Joslin: 1-1.5g/kg adjusted body weight ( $IBW + 0.25 \times EBW$ )
  - Micronutrients
- Physical activity – especially resistance exercise
- Possible future role for bimagrumab, apiegromab, or cagrilintide

Mechanick JL. Strategies for minimizing muscle loss during use of incretin-mimetic drugs for treatment of obesity. *Obesity Reviews*. 2025;26:e13841.

Wilkinson TJ, et al. Preservation of healthy lean body mass and function during weight loss. *Clinical Obesity*. 2024;14:e12683.



# WELCOME to the *Obesity Care in All Ages ECHO*

Session 5, How to Use Anti-Obesity Medications Effectively (1 AOM non glp 1 agonist)  
- September 9<sup>th</sup>, 2025

*This ECHO is supported by the Walter and Carole Young Center for Digestive Health*

# Today's Program

- Brief housekeeping
- Didactic: How to Use Anti-Obesity Medications Effectively (1 AOM non glp 1 agonist)
  - – Sarah Finn, MD
- Case Discussion
- Summary
- Up Next

# Obesity Treatment with Anti obesity Medicine (oral agents/non GLP1 agonist)

**Sarah Finn, MD**

# Disclosures


**I have no relevant conflicts of interest to disclose**


# Objectives

By the end of this presentation, participants should be able to:

1. Understand mechanism of action of non GLP1 anti obesity medications
2. Understand short- and long-term benefits
3. Understand risks and management of complications
4. Variability of response and management of suboptimal initial response, recurrent weight gain and complications







# Current AOM Pharmacotherapy

First generation

FDA Approved	Off Label
Phendimetrazine Benzphetamine Diethylpropion	Metformin Dapaglifloxin Diabetes
<b>Phentermine</b>	

Second generation


<b>Orlistat</b> <b>Phentermine/Topiramate</b> <b>Naltrexone/Bupropion</b>	<b>Topiramate (seizures/migraines)</b> <b>Zonisamide (seizures/migraines)</b> <b>Bupropion (depression)</b> <b>Naltrexone (addiction)</b>
Liraglutide 3.0 mg	


Third generation

Semaglutide 2.4 mg Tirzepatide	Liraglutide 1.8 mg Semaglutide Tirzepatide Exanatide Dulaglutide Diabetes
-----------------------------------	--

Monogenic obesity

Setmelanotide	
---------------	--








# Treatment – Pharmacotherapy, Oral Agents

	Orlistat	Phentermine	Qsymia (phentermine/topiramate)	Contrave (bupropion/naltrexone)
Conditions to avoid in	Bariatric surgery Liver disease Pregnancy/breast feeding	Seizures Glaucoma, ESRD Pregnancy/breast feeding	Seizures Glaucoma Kidney stones ESRD on HD Pregnancy/breast feeding	Seizures Glaucoma Lower doses with CKD/Liver disease Pregnancy/breast feeding
% TBW loss	2.9-6.1 KG (6-8 lbs.)	5; 3.6kg (~8lbs)	7-9.8	5-7
Contraindications	Malabsorption syndromes	concurrent stimulant, Substance use disorder	lack of highly-effective pregnancy prevention	untreated bipolar disorder, active opioid use,
Adverse effects	Diarrhea Flatus with discharge Fecal urgency Fatty stool (Steatorrhea) Oily evacuation Fecal incontinence Rare liver injury	Jitteriness, tremor, increased BP or HR, dry mouth, insomnia, constipation	Same as phentermine plus dizziness, abnormal taste, paresthesia, kidney stones	Nausea, constipation, insomnia, dry mouth, sweating, headaches, increased BP


## Choosing Anti-Obesity Medications



# Orlistat

## Mechanism of Action

- Reversible inhibitor of gastrointestinal lipases reducing lipid absorption
- (25-30% of fat calories are not absorbed)
- Reinforces avoidance of energy dense foods





## Clinical Trials Orlistat 120 mg TID

Authors Participants	Average KG weight loss
<b>Hutton, Fergusson et al.</b> 10, 631	6.1 kg vs 2.6 kg placebo
<b>Davidson MH, Hauptman, J et al.</b> 3, 305	5.8 kg vs 3 kg placebo
<b>Suyog, J, et al.</b> 80	4.65 kg vs 2.5 kg placebo
<b>Rissanen A, Lean MEJ (XENDOS trial)</b> 2,550	-2.4 KG weight changes treatment difference -0.4% treatment improvement in A1c vs placebo

## Orlistat 120 mg TID



Malabsorption procedures (bariatric surgery)

Concurrent meds:

Cyclosporine  
Coumadin  
Anti-epileptics  
Antiretroviral Agents  
Levothyroxine



High cholesterol  
Diabetes  
Constipation




Diarrhea  
Flatus with discharge  
Fecal urgency  
Fatty stool (Steatorrhea)  
Fecal incontinence  
Rare liver injury

# Phentermine

## Mechanism of Action

- Increases release of norepinephrine (and dopamine and serotonin to lesser degree) in hypothalamus
- Enhance appetite suppression via central adrenergic pathways, reduced food consumption



# Phentermine



Prior heart attack, Aneurysm, stroke  
Seizure  
**Uncontrolled HTN**  
Tachyarrhythmia  
Hyperthyroidism (untreated)  
Severe anxiety, Bipolar DO  
**Glaucoma**  
Pregnancy/breastfeeding



Lack of co-morbid conditions  
High hunger/cravings



Insomnia  
Headache  
Constipation  
Irritability  
Eye pain (monitor)  
Increased BP, HR  
Dizziness  
Jitteriness, Tremor

# Phentermine

## Dosing

- Phentermine (Lomaira) 4 mg 1-3 times daily (start ½ tablet) before meals
- Phentermine (Adipex) 15mg daily capsule (can start ¼ tablet 37.5mg tab)
- Approved for short term use (3 months); long term therapy is recommended by experts
- Schedule IV Controlled substance
- Check state requirements for controlled substance requirements; for NH: Yearly PDMP and in office visit


## Patient Monitoring

- Avoid caffeine, energy drinks, decongestants
- Monitor BP and HR at home
- Suicidal ideation or worsening mood/anxiety

# Phentermine/Topiramate

## Mechanism of Action

- Phentermine
  - Increases release of norepinephrine (and dopamine and serotonin to lesser degree) in hypothalamus
  - Enhance appetite suppression via central adrenergic pathways, reduced food consumption
- Topiramate
  - GABA receptor modulator (post synaptic neurons) carbonic anhydrase inhibition, glutamate antagonism




## Clinical Trials Phentermine/Topiramate

Trial Name Participants	Average Percent Total body weight loss
EQUIP 1267	14%
EQUATE 756	9.2%
CONQUER 2487	12.4%
SEQUEL 676	10.5% 2 years

\* No Cardiovascular outcomes trial completed

## Clinical trials Phentermine/Topiramate







## Phentermine + Topiramate XR (Qsymia)

Prior heart attack, Aneurysm, stroke

Uncontrolled HTN

Tachyarrhythmia

Hyperthyroidism (untreated)

Severe anxiety

Glaucoma

Kidney stones (calcium phosphate)

Pregnancy or breast feeding

CKD stage IV/V

Lack of co-morbid conditions

High hunger/cravings

Women on birth control

Depression not well treated

Paresthesia

Memory issues/forgetfulness

Taste distortion

Dry mouth

Dizziness

Constipation

Insomnia

Serious but rare: hypokalemia\*\* pay attention to non-potassium sparing diuretics

# Phentermine/Topiramate (Qsymia)

## Dosing

- Qsymia: 3.75/23mg x 14d then 7.5/46mg, 15/92mg
- Phentermine 8 mg daily and topiramate 25-50 mg daily (more affordable)
- Schedule IV Controlled substance
- Check state requirements for controlled substance requirements; for NH: Yearly PDMP and in office visit


## Patient Monitoring

- If on diuretics-monitoring potassium before and during treatment
- Monitor metabolic acidosis higher doses/long term exposure
- Suicidal ideation or anxiety/depression
- Evaluate pregnancy status prior to use in patients who can become pregnant.
- Patients who can become pregnant should have a negative pregnancy test prior to and monthly during therapy.
- Effective contraception should be used during treatment.
- Irregular bleeding may occur with use of combination oral contraceptives; efficacy of contraception may be dependent upon dose.

## Naltrexone/Bupropion (Contrave)

### Mechanism of Action

- Opioid receptor antagonist dopamine agonist/norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitor
- Increased satiety, appetite suppression



## Clinical Trials Naltrexone + Bupropion (Contrave)

52 weeks multicentered RCT	% Total weight loss
COR-I	6.1% vs. 1.3% placebo -4.8%
COR-II	7.1% vs 2% placebo 5%-48%  10%-27% 15%-10%
COR-BMOD	9% vs 5% placebo
COR-DM	> 5%- vs 1.8% placebo

\* No Cardiovascular outcomes trial completed ; No dedicated RCT for prevention of weight regain or long –term maintenance

## Naltrexone/ Bupropion (Contrave)



### **Seizure disorder**

Severe anxiety

Uncontrolled headaches

Heart disease

### **Uncontrolled high blood pressure**

### **Chronic opioid or methadone use**

Drug or alcohol withdrawal

### **Anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa**

Glaucoma

Liver failure (reduce dose)

Kidney disease (reduce dose)



Type 2 Diabetes

Emotional eater

Cravings for food and addictive behaviors related to food

Quitting tobacco

Quitting alcohol

Depression



Nausea

Vomiting

Constipation

Stomach upset

Trouble sleeping

Headache

Dry mouth

Dizziness

Worsening mood, suicidality

Sweating

## Naltrexone + Bupropion (Contrave)

### Dosing

Week 1: 1 tablet in am

Week 2: 1 tablet in am and 1 tablet in pm

Week 3: 2 tablets in am and 1 tablet in pm

Week 4 and on : 2 tablets in am and 2 tablets in pm

### **Bupropion SR 100 mg tablets**

Week 1: 1 tablet in the am

Week 2: 1 tablet in the am and 1 tablet in the pm

Week 3: 2 tablets in the am and 1 tablet in the pm

Week 4 and on: 2 tablets in am and 2 tablets in pm

\*You can change from 2 100 mg tablets to 200 mg tablet

### **Naltrexone 50 mg tablet**

Week 1: ¼ tablet in the am

Week 2: ½ tablet in the am

Week 3: ½ tablet in the am and ¼ tablet in the pm

### Patient Monitoring

- Monitor BP and HR weekly for a month
- Worsening depression/anxiety
- Can reduce side effects by reducing dose

## Treatment Recommendations

Do not delay treatment; be proactive in prevention of obesity complications


<b>Starting treatment</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• BMI <math>\geq 27</math> with complications</li><li>• BMI <math>\geq 30</math></li></ul> <p>12-16 weeks if less than 3-5% of body weight change course (discontinue, change dose, alternate medication, etc.)</p> <p>Use in combination with lifestyle and behavioral change!</p>	<b>Maintenance</b> <b>Medication recommended life long</b> Monitor every 6, 12 months Reassess (exercise, diet, psychological, health issues, concomitant medications)

## Shared Decision making on Treatment Goals

- In addition to weight loss, focusing on health metrics, reduction in cardiometabolic risk, improvement, remission or resolution of adiposity related complications, maintenance of weight loss, management of symptoms of obesity (appetite cravings), improvement of quality of life.
- Medications help prevent weight regain and maintain weight loss after health changes alone
- Personalized to meet individual values, preferences and treatment goals, safe, effective, culturally acceptable and affordable for long-term adherence
- If BMI still in obesity range, but patients complications have reduced, good QOL, and less amount of ASE on medication, that should be goal weight and tell the patient that!



## “Off label” AOM that are affordable and tailored for combination treatment



## Case 1

Ms. Suarez 45 year old woman, weight 230, BMI 35, waist circumference 40 inches. BP 125/76


Insomnia: Amitriptyline 250 mg QHS

Anxiety: Clonazepam 1.5 mg PO BID

PCOS: Fasting insulin 36 uU/ml, A1c 6.0 on metformin

HTN: controlled on lisinopril

Kidney stones: Calcium oxalate



You she is already on metformin to help with psychotropic induced weight gain in addition to prediabetes. She would like to lose more weight and metformin “isn’t” working any more” because her hunger has come back. Labs: A1c 5.6. Patient would like to lose more weight and she does not have coverage for GLP1 agonist.

### **What are your next steps?**

- A. Tell her she has already lost 6% of her body weight and she doesn’t need any further intervention. Stop metformin as it isn’t working
- B. Continue metformin and add phentermine 4 mg titrate to 8 mg
- C. Continue metformin and start naltrexone titration
- D. Stop metformin and start on phentermine 8 mg

You she is already on metformin to help with psychotropic induced weight gain in addition to prediabetes. She would like to lose more weight and metformin “isn’t” working any more” because her hunger has come back. Labs: A1c 5.6. Patient would like to lose more weight and she does not have coverage for GLP1 agonist.

### **What are your next steps?**

- A. Tell her she has already lost 6% of her body weight and she doesn’t need any further intervention. Stop metformin as it isn’t working
- B. Continue metformin and add phentermine 4 mg titrate to 8 mg**
- C. Continue metformin and start naltrexone titration
- D. Stop metformin and start on phentermine 8 mg

## Combination AOM Pearls

- Goals, reasonable expectations on treatment discussion at every visit!
- You can safely add phentermine (and Wellbutrin) in a patient with well controlled HTN even if they need their medication adjusted
- Both phentermine/Wellbutrin have overlapping adrenergic activity and using combination may result in higher risk of anxiety, lower threshold for seizures
- When an agent is ineffective for monotherapy (without serious ASE), it may be reintroduced later in a combination resulting in good weight loss

- You add phentermine and titrate up. She has some constipation, but it improves with water. Over a period of 10 months, she loses another 25 pounds. Her total weight loss is 41 pounds (Total 21.25%) and final weight 190 pounds (BMI 29.3). She wants to lose more weight, but also doesn't want to be on 'medications forever'.

**How do you counsel her?**

## Case 2

Mr. Williams 32 year old weight, Vitals: 260 lbs, BMI 38 BP 116/76 HR 83

### PMH:

- Mild OSA, uses CPAP
- OA: ibuprofen
- Depression: Zoloft
- Migraines: propranolol

He has high hunger during the day, no emotional eating. He would like GLP1 agonist, but no coverage. He is concerned of taking a medication twice a day. **What would you do for this patient?**

- A) Start Phentermine/Topiramate
- B) Start Naltrexone/Bupropion
- C) Start Metformin
- D) Start Wellbutrin

## Case 2

Mr. Williams 32 year old weight 260 lbs., BMI 38

PMH:

- Mild OSA, uses CPAP
- OA: ibuprofen
- Depression: Zoloft
- Migraines: propranolol

Vitals: 116/76 HR 83

He has high hunger during the day, no emotional eating. He would like GLP1 agonist, but no coverage. He is concerned of taking a medication twice a day.

**What would you do for this patient?**

**A) Start Phentermine/Topiramate**

B) Start Naltrexone/Bupropion

C) Start Metformin

D) Start Wellbutrin



## Case 2

Mr. Williams follows up with you after being on phentermine/topiramate and has lost 15 lbs. (5% body weight) in 3 months. His headaches are much improved. He notes he is having tingling in his hands and feet, but it's not bothering him to much. He would like to increase his medication. You increase him to 11.25/69 x 2 weeks then 15 mg phentermine/ 96 mg of topiramate. He follows up with you in 3 months and has lost additional 20 pounds (total 35 lbs. lost, 13.46% of his body weight), but notes that he feels "foggy" and has been more forgetful.

### **What would be your next steps?**

- A. Slowly titrate off Phentermine/Topiramate
- B. Reduce phentermine/topiramate back to 11.25/69 mg dose
- C. Stop Phentermine/Topiramate, start Naltrexone/Bupropion
- D. Continue phentermine, stop Topiramate

## Case 3

Mr. Williams follows up with you after being on phentermine/topiramate and has lost 15 lbs. (5% body weight) in 3 months. His headaches are much improved. He notes he is having tingling in his hands and feet, but it's not bothering him to much. He would like to increase his medication. You increase him to 11.25/69 x 2 weeks then 15 mg phentermine/ 96 mg of topiramate. He follows up with you in 3 months and has lost additional 20 pounds (total 35 lbs. lost, 13.46% of his body weight), but notes that he feels "foggy" and has been more forgetful.

**What would be your next steps?**

- A. Slowly titrate off Phentermine/Topiramate
- B. Reduce phentermine/topiramate back to 11.25/69 mg dose**
- C. Stop Phentermine/Topiramate, start Naltrexone/Bupropion
- D. Continue phentermine, stop Topiramate

## Phentermine/Topiramate PEARLS

- When patients with moderate/high dose of topiramate develop cognitive side effects, reducing the dose to a lower, but still effective dose can allow the continued use of the medication
- Taper down phentermine/Topiramate slowly to minimize side effects
  - Combo step down or alternative every other day x 7 days to off
  - Two separate drugs, decrease dose of topiramate
- Recommend trial of ER versions
- Calcium phosphate stones are associated with topiramate

## Case 3

Ms. Reed is a 38 year old, BMI 40 waist circumference 43, BP 145/89 HR 92

PMH:

DM with gastroparesis: A1c 7.8, metformin, glipizide

HTN: Lisinopril

PCOS: OCP

Depression: untreated

Back pain: untreated

She feels she is an emotional eater with food noise 'all day'. **What medication would you try first?**

A) Tirzepatide 2.5 mg and titrate up

B) Qsymia 3.75/23 and titrate up

C) Naltrexone/bupropion

## Case 3

Ms. Reed is a 38 year old, BMI 40 waist circumference 43, BP 145/89 HR 92

PMH:

DM with gastroparesis: A1c 7.8, metformin, glipizide

HTN: Lisinopril

PCOS: OCP

Depression: untreated

Back pain: untreated

She feels she is an emotional eater with food noise 'all day'. **What medication would you try first?**

A) Tirzepatide 2.5 mg and titrate up

B) Qsymia 3.75/23 and titrate up

**C) Naltrexone/bupropion**

## Naltrexone/bupropion Pearls

- Monitor BP and HR weekly for a month
- Monitor depression/anxiety
- Can reduce side effects by reducing dose back to week 2 or 3 of titration
- Use reduced doses (week 2) for CKD/Liver disease

## Case 4

- You see Mrs. Emmel for obesity follow up and you would like to start GLP1 agonist. She has had gastric bypass in 2018 with 15% total body weight regain and no concerns for starting GLP1 agonist. Insurance came back with denial saying patient has tried and failed ‘making’ a patient try orlistat before prescribing GLP1 agonist. Patient is worried about side effects as used Alli (over the counter) pre-surgery and had explosive diarrhea.

What can you say to the patient and insurance company:

- A) Orlistat is contraindicated in malabsorption syndromes
- B) We need to try orlistat first
- C) Orlistat may help your constipation
- D) sorry I can't prescribe any meds for you

## Case 4

- You see Mrs. Emmel for obesity follow up and you would like to start GLP1 agonist. She has had gastric bypass in 2018 with 15% total body weight regain and no concerns for starting GLP1 agonist. Insurance came back with denial saying patient has tried and failed ‘making’ a patient try orlistat before prescribing GLP1 agonist. Patient is worried about side effects as used Alli (over the counter) pre-surgery and had explosive diarrhea.

What can you say to the patient and insurance company:

- **A) Orlistat is contraindicated in malabsorption syndromes**
- B) We need to try orlistat first
- C) Orlistat may help your constipation
- D) Sorry I can't prescribe any meds for you





# WELCOME to the *Obesity Care in All Ages ECHO*

Session 6, Approach to the Pediatric Patient with Obesity - AAP Clinical  
practice guidelines  
- September 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2025

*This ECHO is supported by the Walter and Carole Young Center for Digestive Health*

# Today's Program

- Brief housekeeping
- Didactic: Approach to the Pediatric Patient with Obesity - AAP Clinical practice guidelines
  - – Auden McClure, MD, MPH
- Case Discussion – Christine Arsnow
- Summary
- Up Next

# Approach to the Pediatric Patient with Obesity

The American Academy of Pediatrics Clinical Practice Guidelines

**Auden McClure, MD MPH**

Co-director, Pediatric Weight and Lipid Program

The Weight Center, Center for Digestive Health

Dr McClure has no financial conflicts of interest to disclose

## Objectives for today

---


Define obesity as a disease

---

Provide an overview of pediatric obesity medicine using the American Academy of Pediatrics Clinical Practice Guidelines

---

# Rising Prevalence of Pediatric Obesity



## Complications of Obesity

### Prediabetes



Prevalence of ~26%  
(1 in 4) of  
adolescents with  
obesity<sup>1,2</sup>

### MASLD (Metabolic dysfunction associated steatohepatitis)



Prevalence of  
30 -50% in children &  
adolescents  
with obesity<sup>1,3</sup>

### OSA (Obstructive Sleep Apnea)



Prevalence of ~45%  
in children &  
adolescents with  
obesity<sup>1,4</sup>

### Hypertension



Prevalence of  
5 -30% in children &  
adolescents with  
obesity<sup>1,5</sup>

### PCOS (Polycystic Ovarian Syndrome)



Prevalence of  
3-11% in adolescents  
with obesity<sup>1</sup>

### Psychosocial



Depression  
Internalized Stigma  
Decreased QOL<sup>1</sup>


## OMA Definition of Obesity

Chronic, relapsing, multi-factorial, neurobehavioral disease,  
wherein an increase in body fat promotes adipose tissue  
dysfunction and abnormal fat mass physical forces, resulting in  
adverse metabolic, biomechanical, and psychosocial health  
consequences




# Neurohormonal Regulation of Weight


Complex interplay of hormones which regulate energy balance and determine set point (defended fat mass)



In obesity that set point is too high

# Concept of Defended Fast Mass (Set Point)





# What Obesity Is



Caused by countless factors (many/  
most of which are not within the control  
of the individual) that collectively  
facilitate weight gain over time




Doggedly persistent, particular when surfacing early in life:

- If obesity surfaces in childhood, it is probably a particularly aggressive form of the disease
- >85% of youth with obesity will grow up to be adults with obesity



## Durable Anti-Obesity Therapy (lifestyle, medication, surgery)



Obesogenic Environment / drivers of obesity



## Some Important Points to Consider

- Obesity is a complex and chronic disease!
  - Disease of abnormal physiology resulting from multi-factorial causes, including genetics, environment, and social determinants of health
  - Long term management will be necessary, and treatment response may vary
- **It is not**
  - a “choice,” lack of willpower, or poor parenting
  - a simple balance between calories in and calories out – is much more complex

## What is our job?

- Identification (Diagnosis)
  - Not just obesity as defined by BMI, but the disease of obesity
  - Identify complications such as insulin resistance, pre-diabetes, lipid abnormalities, fatty liver disease, hypertension, PCOS, etc.
- Understand the prognosis of each issue
  - Families can't make treatment decisions if they do not understand the prognosis and treatment options
- Discuss an initial (individualized) management plan
- Treat when able, refer when appropriate

## Treatment Goals

- Goal is to durably reduce excess adiposity
- While concurrently treating obesity-related complications
- Watching and waiting is no longer an appropriate option
- For adults, even 5-10% weight loss is associated with improved cardiometabolic outcomes
- For children, goal is BMI reduction  $> 10\%$ , even below 85-95<sup>th</sup> percentile, if complications persist



CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE Guidance for the Clinician in Rendering Pediatric Care

American Academy  
of Pediatrics




DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™


# Clinical Practice Guideline for the Evaluation and Treatment of Children and Adolescents With Obesity

# Clinical Practice Guidelines

- Full Report
- Executive Summary
- AAP Decision Support Tools
  - Algorithm
  - Key Action Statements
  - Consensus Recommendations
- Found at AAP Institute for Childhood Healthy Weight

## Algorithm for the Evaluation and Treatment of Children and Adolescents with Overweight and Obesity






US Preventive Services Task Force Grade B evidence for screening for obesity using BMI



# Extended Growth Charts



## Class I obesity

BMI  $\geq$  95th percentile to < 120% of 95th percentile

## Class 2 obesity

BMI  $\geq$  120% to < 140% of 95th percentile or BMI  $\geq$  35 to < 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>

## Class 3 obesity

BMI  $\geq$  140% of 95th percentile or BMI  $\geq$  40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>



= should



= consider

## Screening for obesity-related complications

## EVALUATION

Components of Comprehensive Evaluation	Overweight		Obesity	
	<10y	≥10y	<10y	≥10y
<b>Comprehensive history, MBH screening, SDOH evaluation, physical examination, &amp; diagnostic studies (KAS 2)</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Blood pressure (KAS 8)</b>	✓ <sup>a</sup>	✓	✓ <sup>a</sup>	✓
<b>Fasting lipid panel (KAS 3, 3.1, 5)</b>		✓		✓
<b>FPG, OGTT, or HgbA1C (KAS 3, 3.1, 6) &amp; ALT (KAS 3, 3.1, 7)</b>		<sup>b</sup>		✓

## Focused History

In children with overweight/ obesity evaluate for obesity-related co-morbidities:

- Assess individual, structural, and contextual risk and protective factors related to healthy behavior and healthy weight, including:
  - Medical history: chief complaint/history of present illness, review of systems, medication history, family history
  - Social determinants of health
  - Individual/family lifestyle behavior history
  - Mental and behavioral health, psychosocial consequences of living with obesity
- Physical exam
- Diagnostic studies



Acanthosis nigricans

## Communicating with families

- Partner with families - use respect, trust, open and objective communication
  - Avoid labeling by using patient first language (child with obesity)
  - Use words that are perceived as neutral by parents, teens, children
- Parents know their children best – listen, with compassion
- Acknowledge that they have been working hard to address obesity
- Explain the multifactorial nature of obesity
  - What we can influence = obesity pillars (diet, activity, sleep, stress, meds)
  - What we can't, like our genetics or family history
- Share the story of obesity pathophysiology and target treatment to that



Treat obesity and  
co-morbidities  
concurrently

## EVALUATION

Components of Comprehensive Evaluation	Overweight		Obesity	
	<10y	≥10y	<10y	≥10y
Comprehensive history, MBH screening, SDOH evaluation, physical examination, & diagnostic studies (KAS 2)	✓	✓	✓	✓
Blood pressure (KAS 8)	✓ <sup>a</sup>	✓	✓ <sup>a</sup>	✓

Volume 140, Issue 3

September 2017

**PEDIATRICS**  
OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

FROM THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS | CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINE | SEPTEMBER 01 2017

## Clinical Practice Guideline for Screening and Management of High Blood Pressure in Children and Adolescents ✓



Treat obesity and  
co-morbidities  
concurrently

## EVALUATION

Components of Comprehensive Evaluation	Overweight		Obesity	
	<10y	≥10y	<10y	≥10y
Comprehensive history, MBH screening, SDOH evaluation, physical examination, & diagnostic studies (KAS 2)	✓	✓	✓	✓
Blood pressure (KAS 8)	✓ <sup>a</sup>	✓	✓ <sup>a</sup>	✓
Fasting lipid panel (KAS 3, 3.1, 5)		✓	⚖️	✓
FPG, OGTT, or HgbA1C (KAS 3, 3.1, 6) & ALT (KAS 3, 3.1, 7)		⚖️ <sup>b</sup>		✓

Infancy (0-24 months)	Toddler (Age 2-4 years)	Early Childhood (Age 5-9 years)	Puberty (Age 10-14 years)	Adolescent (Age 15-18 years)
Weight>Length	BMI $\geq$ 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile Or $\geq$ 85 <sup>th</sup> percentile with 2 or more risk factors (24-48 months)	BMI $\geq$ 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile Or $\geq$ 85 <sup>th</sup> percentile with 2 or more risk factors	BMI $\geq$ 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile Or $\geq$ 85 <sup>th</sup> percentile with 2 or more risk factors	BMI $\geq$ 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile Or $\geq$ 85 <sup>th</sup> percentile with 2 or more risk factors
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Fasting Blood Glucose and/or HbA1c</li> <li>- Fasting Lipid Panel/Non fasting if fasting not feasible</li> <li>- ALT</li> <li>- Consider 25 OH Vitamin D, Consider iron studies</li> <li>- BP annually if <math>\geq</math> 3 years</li> </ul>			
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Consider Sleep Study</li> <li>- Consider Uric Acid</li> <li>- Consider fasting serum insulin</li> </ul>		
<p>Abnormal labs results for which additional testing is recommended: LDL <math>\geq</math>130; TG <math>\geq</math>100 (&lt;10 years) or 130 (<math>\geq</math>10 years); Prediabetes: HgbA1C <math>\geq</math>5.7 –6.4; FBS 100-125, OGTT 140-199; T2DM: FPG <math>\geq</math>126mg/dL, OGTT <math>\geq</math>200, HgbA1C <math>\geq</math>6.5; ALT<math>\geq</math>2x upper limit of normal (<math>\geq</math>52 males / <math>\geq</math>44 females)</p>			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Consider Urine Microalbumin/Creatinine ratio</li> <li>- Consider C-peptide, hs-CRP</li> </ul>	



## TREATMENT

P&PHCPs *should* treat overweight/obesity & comorbidities concurrently (KAS 4) following the principles of the **medical home** and the **chronic care model**, using a **family-centered** and **non-stigmatizing** approach that acknowledges obesity's **biologic, social, and structural drivers**.(KAS 9)

### Components of Comprehensive Treatment

#### Overweight

#### Obesity

	<6y	6 to <12y	≥12y	<6y	6 to <12y	≥12y
--	-----	-----------	------	-----	-----------	------

**Motivational Interviewing<sup>f</sup>** (KAS 10)

✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

**Intensive Health Behavior and Lifestyle Treatment<sup>g</sup>** (KAS 11)

⚖️	✓	✓	⚖️	✓	✓	✓
----	---	---	----	---	---	---

**Weight Loss Pharmacotherapy<sup>h</sup>** (KAS 12)

✓

**Offer referral to Comprehensive Pediatric Metabolic & Bariatric Surgery programs<sup>i</sup>** (KAS 13)

✓<sup>i</sup>

# Intensive Health Behavior and Lifestyle Treatment

- Patient and family working with multidisciplinary team
  - Provider with training in obesity medicine
  - Other professionals with behavior and lifestyle and mental health expertise
- Focus on nutrition, activity, sleep, reduction of sedentary time, mental health, parenting skills...
- Longitudinal - more effective with more contact hours
- Healthcare or community setting – community partnerships are key, offer what is feasible

# Lifestyle Modification Therapy

## US Preventive Services Task Force

**Clinical Bottom Line / Executive Summary**

**JAMA | US Preventive Services Task Force • RECOMMENDATION STATEMENT**  
**Screening for Obesity in Children and Adolescents**  
US Preventive Services Task Force  
Recommendation Statement

US Preventive Services Task Force

**BACKGROUND:** Based on year 2007 estimates for disease burden and projected growth through approximately 2030, children and adolescents aged 1 to 19 years in the United States have obesity, and almost one-third of children and adolescents are overweight or have obesity. Obesity in children and adolescents is associated with morbidity such as mental health and psychologic distress, asthma, diabetes type 2, sleep apnea, orthopedic problems, and adverse cardiovascular and metabolic outcomes, high blood pressure, abnormal lipid levels, and insulin resistance. Children and adolescents who also experience teasing and bullying behaviors based on their weight. Obesity in childhood and adolescence may continue into adulthood and lead to adverse cardiovascular outcomes, or other obesity-related conditions, such as type 2 diabetes.

**RATIONALE FOR THIS STATEMENT:** Although the overall impact of child and adolescent obesity has remained over the last decade after increasing steadily for 3 decades, obesity rates continued to increase in some populations, such as African American girls and Hispanic boys. These population differences in obesity prevalence are viewed as a result of both genetic and nongenetic factors, including family characteristics, ethnic/racial group, developmental changes and life stressors, and having a sedentary lifestyle.

**OBJECTIVE:** To update the 2002 US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) recommendation on screening for obesity in children 6 years and older.

**EVIDENCE REVIEW:** The USPSTF reviewed the evidence on screening for obesity in children and adolescents, and the benefits and harms of weight management interventions.

**EVIDENCE:** Comprehensive, intensive behavioral intervention in 26 contact hours in children and adolescents 6 to 12 years old and older resulted in a mean weight loss of 1.8 kg (4 lb) at 12 months. There was no significant difference in the effectiveness of less intensive interventions. The long-term professional interventions can be classified as small to none, and the harms of screening and treatment. Therefore, the USPSTF concluded with moderate certainty that screening for obesity in children and adolescents 6 years and older is of moderate net benefit.

**CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:** The USPSTF recommends that clinicians screen for obesity in children and adolescents 6 years and older and offer or refer them to comprehensive, intensive behavioral interventions to improve management of weight status. (B recommendation)

**AUTHOR DISCLOSURE INFORMATION:** This report was prepared by the USPSTF members present at the 2015 meeting. The authors have no financial relationships relevant to this report to disclose.

**COMING SOON:** Evidence synthesis, full guideline, and implementation guidance.

**DOI: 10.1001/jama.2015.11111**

**"The USPSTF recommends that clinicians screen for obesity in children and adolescents 6 years and older and offer or refer them to comprehensive, intensive behavioral interventions to promote improvements in weight status."**

**"The USPSTF found that comprehensive, intensive behavioral interventions with a total of 26 contact hours or more over a period of 2 to 12 months resulted in weight loss. Behavioral interventions with a total of 52 contact hours or more demonstrated greater weight loss and some improvements in cardiovascular and metabolic risk factors."**

O'Connor et al. JAMA 2017.  
13.33 x 7.50 in

Score



## We have the tools


- For children and adolescents there may be a potential window of opportunity to prevent/treat inflammation and changes in hypothalamus that drive insulin resistance and increased set point
  - Health behavior and lifestyle change is a foundation
  - Switching out obesity promoting medications when possible
  - When appropriate, offering concurrent adjunctive treatment and / or referral to tertiary care for:
    - IHBLT
    - Weight loss medications
    - Metabolic & bariatric surgery

## TREATMENT

P&PHCPs *should* treat overweight/obesity & comorbidities concurrently (KAS 4) following the principles of the **medical home** and the **chronic care model**, using a **family-centered** and **non-stigmatizing** approach that acknowledges obesity's **biologic, social, and structural drivers**.(KAS 9)

## The Rationale For Obesity Pharmacotherapy

- Filling the treatment gap
- Ability to target underlying biological pathways regulating energy balance
- Potential for enhancement of weight loss maintenance
- Potential to scale up



## Series Sessions

Date	Session Title
5/13/2025	Why Obesity is a Disease
6/10/2025	Approach to the Patient with Obesity
7/8/2025	Optimizing the Use of Lifestyle-based Obesity Care
8/12/2025	How to Use Anti-Obesity Medications Effectively (GLP-1 agonist)
9/9/2025	How to Use Anti-Obesity Medications Effectively (1 AOM non glp 1 agonist)
9/23/2025	Approach to the Pediatric Patient with Obesity – AAP Clinical Practice Guidelines
10/7/2025	How to Use Endoscopic Therapy Effectively
10/21/2025	Pediatric Anti-Obesity Medications and Bariatric Surgery
11/4/2025	Metabolic-Bariatric Surgery: Who, When, Why, and Which One
11/18/2025	Improving Equitable Access to Obesity Care




# CASE DISCUSSION



## Patient Overview

- **Patient:** 6-year-old female with obesity since toddlerhood
- **Parental Concern:** Mother prefers weight not discussed in front of child

### Growth Charts



## Diet History

- **What do you like to eat?:** Hot dogs, chicken fingers, plain noodles, ramen, bagels
- **Patient refuses all fruits and vegetables, including cucumbers, apples, strawberries, carrots**
- **Beverages:**
  - At mom's: Water, milk, chocolate milk
  - At dad's: Soda, water, chocolate milk

## Social History


- **Family:** Divorced parents, limited communication
- **What do you like to do?:**
  - Riding bikes
  - Playing at the park
  - “Doing moves” (demonstrates a cool dance move)

## Clinical Data

### Measurement

Weight	117 lbs
Height	52 inches
BMI	30.6 kg/m <sup>2</sup> (>99 <sup>th</sup> percentile)
Blood Pressure	100/80
AST / ALT	17 / 24
Hemoglobin A1c	5.3%
Total Cholesterol	150
Triglycerides	85
HDL / LDL	51 / 82

### Growth Charts



## Discussion Question

**How can we provide nutrition guidance to children with obesity in a way that:**

- **Avoids shame**
- **Protects self-esteem**
- **Encourages ongoing trust in medical care?**

## CASE #2

### Presentation

**13 yo with BMI 130<sup>th</sup>% of 95<sup>th</sup> percentile seen with concern for weight.**

- Social History – lives with parents and sister, parents work, supportive household
- Family history
  - Obesity on mom's side, MGM with Type 2 DM and MASH
  - Mom says she works hard to stay healthy weight –no prediabetes, but had GDM
  - No Fhx of bariatric surgery or AOM use. Dad and sister are thin, “can eat anything”
- Past medical history / ROS – generally healthy, no asthma, constipation, headaches, snoring, mental health concerns. No medications




# Growth Chart

BMI

Parents' Heights

100 %

BMI-for-age Percentiles (Boys, 2 to 20 years)



Source: American Academy of Pediatrics, 2012

## Please Share Anything Else you feel is relevant to understanding the case

- Weight history
  - Parents say patient has always struggled with weight, even with intensive high school football, his weight drops some but then rebounds. Teen expresses frustration with being so active and not losing weight, being “stuck”. Would like to try a medication
- Review of health behaviors
  - Mom says they have a very healthy diet at home but Caleb is much pickier than her other children, tends to be hungry all the time. Likes 1-2 vegetables, likes fruit but doesn't often choose it. Tends toward pasta, pizza, burgers, also snack foods which they have at home since younger sister needs to gain weight. They don't have soda at home but he drinks sweet tea, and energy drinks, which he buys with his own money
  - Very active with football in fall, baseball in spring. No winter sport



## Question(s) raised:

- What would be the next step in addressing weight concern in this teen?
- What evaluation would you do?
- What would your initial step in treatment be?

## Evaluation

### Labs

- A1C 5.7
- ALT 50 / AST 36
- Lipids with HDL 33 / Trig 215 / LDL 79

### Abdominal Ultrasound

- Diffuse increased echogenicity suggestive of steatosis. Normal gallbladder

## CASE #2

### Presentation

**13 yo with BMI 130<sup>th</sup>% of 95<sup>th</sup> percentile seen with concern for weight.**

- Social History – lives with parents and sister, parents work, supportive household
- Family history
  - Obesity on mom's side, MGM with Type 2 DM and MASH
  - Mom says she works hard to stay healthy weight –no prediabetes, but had GDM
  - No Fhx of bariatric surgery or AOM use. Dad and sister are thin, “can eat anything”
- Past medical history / ROS – generally healthy, no asthma, constipation, headaches, snoring, mental health concerns. No medications


# Growth Chart

BMI

Parents' Heights

100 %

BMI-for-age Percentiles (Boys, 2 to 20 years)



Source: American Academy of Pediatrics, 2012

## Please Share Anything Else you feel is relevant to understanding the case

- Weight history
  - Parents say patient has always struggled with weight, even with intensive high school football, his weight drops some but then rebounds. Teen expresses frustration with being so active and not losing weight, being “stuck”. Would like to try a medication
- Review of health behaviors
  - Mom says they have a very healthy diet at home but Caleb is much pickier than her other children, tends to be hungry all the time. Likes 1-2 vegetables, likes fruit but doesn't often choose it. Tends toward pasta, pizza, burgers, also snack foods which they have at home since younger sister needs to gain weight. They don't have soda at home but he drinks sweet tea, and energy drinks, which he buys with his own money
  - Very active with football in fall, baseball in spring. No winter sport

## Question(s) raised:

- What would be the next step in addressing weight concern in this teen?
- What evaluation would you do?
- What would your initial step in treatment be?

## Evaluation

### Labs

- A1C 5.7
- ALT 50 / AST 36
- Lipids with HDL 33 / Trig 215 / LDL 79

### Abdominal Ultrasound

- Diffuse increased echogenicity suggestive of steatosis. Normal gallbladder



# WELCOME to the *Obesity Care in All Ages ECHO*

Session 7, How to use Endoscopic Therapy Effectively

- October 7<sup>th</sup> , 2025

*This ECHO is supported by the Walter and Carole Young Center for Digestive Health*





# How to Use Endoscopic Therapy Effectively

*Shelby Sullivan MD, FACP, FASG, DABOM*


*Director, Endoscopic Bariatric and Metabolic Program*

*Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center and Geisel School of Medicine*

# Disclosures



- Shelby Sullivan, M.D. has financial interests to disclose.
- Research Support / Grants Last 24 Months
  - Allurion Technologies, Fractyl Laboratories
- Consulting / Employment Last 24 Months
  - Allurion Technologies, Fractyl Laboratories, Biolinq, Pentax , Olympus

## Comprehensive Obesity Treatment: Bariatric Endoscopy



# What are is Endoscopic Therapy for Obesity?


**Procedures and Devices that are  
performed or placed in the GI tract for  
weight loss or glycemic control**




Space  
Occupying  
Devices

# Bariatric and Metabolic Endoscopy

Suturing  
and  
Plication  
Devices







Non-IGB  
Gastric  
Devices



Weight-Loss  
Independent  
Effects

Small  
Bowel  
Therapies



Orbera Balloon  
approved for  
weight loss




Spatz 3 Balloon  
approved for  
weight loss



Space  
Occupying  
Devices

## Bariatric Endoscopy

Suturing  
and  
Plication  
Devices



Overstitch are specifically  
approved for endoscopic  
sleeve gastropasty and  
revision of bariatric surgery  
for obesity treatment

Non-IGB  
Gastric  
Devices

Small  
Bowel  
Therapies

FDA Approved And  
Current availability in  
the US

# Intragastric Balloons

# Categorizing Intra-gastric Balloons


IGB

Endoscopically Placed

Fluid Filled



Orbera Balloon



ReShape Duo Balloon



Spatz III Balloon



Silimed Balloon



Medicone Balloon

Air Filled

Heliosphere BAG



Air and Fluid Filled



Endalis End-Ball




Easy Life Gastric Balloon

Swallowable


Gas-Filled

Obalon Balloon System



Fluid Filled

Allurion Intra-gastric Balloon





# ASGE/ESGE EBMT Guideline: Placebo Subtracted IGB Efficacy


## Mean Difference in %TWL at 6-8 Months Following IGB Placement

Study name


Statistics for each study

Difference in means and 95% CI

	Difference in means	Standard error	Variance	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value
Abu Dayyeh 2021	11.700	0.906	0.821	9.925	13.475	12.916	0.000
Courcoulas 2017	6.900	0.730	0.533	5.470	8.330	9.455	0.000
Fuller 2013	9.400	4.724	22.316	0.141	18.659	1.990	0.047
Ponce 2013	3.000	1.462	2.138	0.134	5.866	2.052	0.040
Ponce 2015	4.000	0.691	0.478	2.645	5.355	5.788	0.000
Sullivan 2018	3.500	0.540	0.292	2.442	4.558	6.482	0.000
Vicente Martin 2019	12.000	1.445	2.089	9.167	14.833	8.302	0.000
	6.946	1.413	1.997	4.177	9.716	4.916	0.000



# Intragastric Balloon: Serious Adverse Events in the US



Ponce J. *Surgery for Obesity and Related Diseases*. 2015;11(4):874-881  
 Agnihotri A. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 2018;16:1081–1088  
 Courcoulas A. *Int J Obes*. 2017;41:427-433  
 Vargas EJ. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 2018;16:1073–1080

Sullivan S. *Surgery for Obesity and Related Diseases*. 2018; 14(12):1876-188  
 Moore R. *Surgery for Obesity and Related Diseases*. 2019 Mar;15(3):417-423  
[https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma\\_pas.cfm?c\\_id=3557&t\\_id=538679](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma_pas.cfm?c_id=3557&t_id=538679)  
 Moore R. *Obesity Surgery*. 2020 Nov;30(11):4267-4274  
 Abu Dayyeh B. *The Lancet*. 2021;398:1965-1973

# ASGE/ESGE EBMT Guideline: IGB

Recommendation	Strength of Recommendation	Evidence
In adults with obesity, the ASGE-ESGE suggests the use of an IGB plus LM over LM alone	Conditional	Moderate
In adults with obesity undergoing IGB placement, the ASGE-ESGE suggests the use of antiemetics periprocedurally	Conditional	Very Low
In adults with obesity undergoing IGB placement, the ASGE-ESGE suggests the use of pain medication periprocedurally	Conditional	Very Low
In adults with obesity undergoing IGB placement, the ASGE-ESGE suggests the use of proton pump inhibitors while the IGB is in place	Conditional	Very Low

AGA Clinical Practice Guidelines on Intra gastric Balloons in the Management of Obesity	Strength of Rec	Quality of evidence
1. In individuals with obesity seeking a weight-loss intervention who have failed a trial of conventional weight-loss strategies, AGA suggests the use of IGB therapy with lifestyle modification over lifestyle modification alone. <a href="#">a</a> , <a href="#">b</a>	Conditional	Moderate
2. In individuals with obesity undergoing IGB therapy, AGA recommends moderate- to high-intensity concomitant lifestyle modification interventions to maintain and augment weight loss.	Strong	Moderate
3. In individuals undergoing IGB therapy, AGA recommends prophylaxis with PPIs.	Strong	Moderate
4. In individuals undergoing IGB therapy, AGA suggests using the intraoperative anesthetic regimens associated with the lowest incidence of nausea along with perioperative antiemetics. AGA suggests a scheduled antiemetic regimen for 2 week after IGB placement. <a href="#">c</a>	Conditional	Low
5. In individuals undergoing IGB therapy, AGA suggests against perioperative laboratory screening for nutritional deficiencies.	Conditional	Low
6. AGA suggests daily supplementation with 1–2 adult dose multivitamins after IGB placement.	Conditional	Very low
7. After IGB removal, AGA suggests subsequent weight-loss or maintenance interventions that include dietary interventions, pharmacotherapy, repeat IGB, or bariatric surgery.		

## Patient Selection

- **Failed lifestyle therapy**
- **BMI – FDA labelling is 30-40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, no difference in safety or percent total body weight loss outside of that range**
- **Interested in a removal device**
- **Willing to abstain from NSAIDs and not on anticoagulation**
- **Willing to take PPI during balloon implantation**
- **Willing to participate in lifestyle therapy**

### Contra-indications

- Prior foregut surgery
- Cirrhosis
- Esophageal stricture
- Large hiatal hernia
- Need for anticoagulation
- History of PUD with unknown cause

### Cautions

- Gastroparesis
- Poorly controlled GERD


### Considerations

- More accommodative symptoms with fluid filled compared with gas-filled
- Gas-filled not currently available

# Endoscopic Gastric Remodeling

# Endoscopic Gastric Remodeling

- CPT Code will be available Jan 1, 2026
- Cigna announced coverage as of August 15, 2025




## Endoscopic Gastric Remodeling

- Endoscopic Sleeve Gastroplasty
- Overstitch Device
- Most common EGR procedure in the US and around the world





# %TWL at 12 Months Following EGR (Observational Studies Only)




A

American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy–European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy guideline on primary endoscopic bariatric and metabolic therapies for adults with obesity    Jirapinyo P. GIE. 2024. epub 4.19.24

Recommendation	Strength of Recommendation	Quality of Evidence
In adults with obesity, the ASGE-ESGE suggests the use of Endoscopic Gastric Remodeling (EGR) plus LM over LM alone	Conditional	Moderate
In adults with obesity undergoing EGR, the ASGE-ESGE suggests the use of antiemetics periprocedurally	Conditional	Very Low
In adults with obesity undergoing EGR, the ASGE-ESGE suggests the use of pain medication periprocedurally	Conditional	Very Low
In adults with obesity undergoing EGR, the ASGE-ESGE suggests the use of prophylactic antibiotics periprocedurally	Conditional	Very Low
In adults with obesity undergoing EGR, the ASGE-ESGE suggests the use of short-term proton pump inhibitors while the IGB is in place	Conditional	Very Low

# Endoscopic Gastroplasty vs Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy: A Non-Inferiority Propensity Score Matched Comparative Study



Follow-up:

ESG 78%-87% (85% at 3 years)

LSG 71-87% (71% at 3 years)

Alqahtani AR. GIE. 2022;96:44-50



# Cost Effectiveness Analysis: Semaglutide vs ESG

Table 2. Base-Case Results Over Different Time Horizons

No. of months	Strategy	Costs, \$ Cumulative	For non-dominance with an ESG cost of \$16360, Semaglutide must cost \$3591 or less with 20% or less drop out over 5 years				ICER, \$/QALY	NMB, \$	BMI
60	No semaglutide or ESG	NA						NA	37.8
12	ESG	17 229					265	54 996	32.2
12	Semaglutide	11 742						60 255	32.9
24	ESG	19 685						127 288	32.2
24	Semaglutide	22 848					584	123 216	32.9
36	ESG	19 685						202 853	31.6
36	Semaglutide	33 688					580	186 515	32.9
48	ESG	19 685						275 691	31.7
48	Semaglutide	43 814	24 129	2.92	-0.04		-617 831	247 653	32.9
60	ESG	19 685		3.66			0	345 854	31.7
60	Semaglutide	53 268	33 583	3.60	-0.06		-595 532	306 632	33.0

For non-dominance with an ESG cost of \$16360, Semaglutide must cost \$3591 or less with 20% or less drop out over 5 years

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); ESG, endoscopic sleeve gastroplasty; ICER, incremental cost-

effectiveness ratio; NA, not applicable; NMB, net monetary benefit; QALY, quality-adjusted life-year.

## Patient Selection

- **Failed lifestyle therapy**
- **BMI – FDA labelling is 30-50 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, but current guidelines are BMI 27-29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> with co-morbidity or 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and above**
- **Interested in a semi-permanent procedure**
- **Willing to comply with post procedure diet**
- **Willing to participate in lifestyle therapy**
- **Can abstain from NSAIDs and anticoagulants during the post-op period**

### Contra-indications

- Cirrhosis
- Esophageal stricture
- Large hiatal hernia
- Need for surveillance of gastric mucosa (history of advanced dysplasia or gastric cancer, genetic diseases)

### Cautions

- Gastroparesis
- Poorly controlled GERD
- Family history of gastric cancer


### Considerations

- Less accommodative symptoms than the endoscopically placed fluid filled intragastric balloons

# Revision after Bariatric Surgery


## Weight Regain after RYGB: Case Presentation

- 47 yo woman with history of class III obesity, migraines and RA
- RYGB in 2010 at weight of 311 lbs
- Lost 121 lbs (39% TBWL), weight nadir 190 lbs
- Started regaining weight in 2014
- Regained 54 lbs (44.6% weight regain) by July 2019
- Reports being able to eat 3 times as much at a meal compared with the first few years after surgery, does not feel restriction



## Argon Plasma Coagulation (APC) Resurfacing Technique


- Low flow, high watts
- 2 to 3 rings on the gastric side of the anastomosis
- BID PPI therapy (and sucralfate) and liquid diet for 45 days after procedure
- Repeat every 8-10 weeks until stoma is reduced





## Transoral Outlet Reduction (TORe) Technique

- Prep of the gastric side of the anastomosis with APC or ESD
- Types of suturing
  - Interrupted
  - Purse-String




Vargas EJ. Surgical Endoscopy. 2018;32:252-259

Jirapinyo P. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. 2020;91:1067-1073

Jaruvongvanich V. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. 2020;92(6):1164-1175

# Endoscopic Transoral Outlet Reduction (TORe): RESTORE Trial



- Randomized Sham Controlled trial
  - TORe n=50
  - Sham control n=27
- Non-full thickness suturing system
- APC to the anastomosis
- Achieved weight loss or weight maintenance
  - Active 96% and Sham control 77.8%,  $p=0.019$


# TORe Data from University of Colorado

## Post – Procedure Weight Loss Outcomes

Group	6-month %TBWL	12-month %TBWL
All (intention-to-treat)	10.2 ± 6.9%	10.3 ± 7.7%
All (per-protocol)	11.3±7.6% (n=29)	12.2±9.2% (n=26)
Purse-String	12.3±8.5% (n=21)	13.5±9.2% (n=21)
Non-Purse String	8.7±3.7% (n=8)	7.0±7.9% (n=5)

### Two Serious Adverse events:


- Perforation in a patient not compliant with medications or diet
- Bleeding in a patient getting heparin with dialysis



Meyers MH. *Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*. 2023;27:1587-1593.


# Comparison to Surgical Revision: Retrospective Matched Cohort

	Endoscopic (n = 31)	Surgical (n = 31)	P value
Age, y	48.6 (9.5)	48.1 (8.4)	.84
Female gender, n (%)	26 (83.9)	26 (83.9)	1.0
Time since RYGB, y	9.1 (3.2)	6.7 (4.1)	.01
Total weight loss from RYGB (%)	40.8 (8.7)	37.1 (12.7)	.2
Weight regain (%)	52.8 (34.1)	55.3 (29.5)	.77
Weight (kg)	111.1 (29.3)	114.6 (30.3)	.65
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	40.5 (9.4)	41.5 (9.1)	.68
Adverse Events (%)	2 (6.5)	9 (29)	0.043
Serious Adverse Events	0 (0)	6 (19.4)	0.024




## Sleeve Revision

- 59 yo woman with h/o class 2 severe obesity
  - Sleeve gastrectomy in 2008
  - 45 lbs weight loss (19% TBWL)
  - 107% weight regain
- Weight loss medications
  - Saxenda – no significant weight loss
- Endoscopic sleeve revision performed
  - 13.2% TBWL at 7 months follow-up



## Endoscopic Sleeve Revision

- Retrospective study
  - N=82
  - Weight regain:  $27.9 \pm 20.7$  kg
  - Weight at revision:  $128.2 \pm 57.5$  kg
- Revision
  - Endoscopic sleeve gastropasty within the dilated sleeve
- Adverse events:
  - Narrowed GEJ treated with dilation





## Patient Selection

- Weight loss failure:
  - Weight regain of at least 20% of the weight lost
  - <50% excess weight loss from the time of surgery
- Interested in a permanent procedure
- Willing to comply with post procedure diet
- Willing to participate in lifestyle therapy
- Can abstain from NSAIDs and anticoagulants during the post-op period
- Contra-indications
  - Cirrhosis
  - Esophageal stricture
  - Large hiatal hernia
- Cautions
  - Poorly controlled GERD
- Considerations
  - RYGB - Any medical issues that could be in the small bowel or remnant stomach should be evaluated before revision
  - SG – moderately controlled GERD or risk for Barrett's esophagus.

# Conclusions

- Lifestyle therapy alone achieves only modest weight loss
- Lifestyle therapy maximizes weight loss with all adjunctive therapies
  - Anti-obesity medications
  - Endoscopic Bariatric Therapies
  - Bariatric Surgery
- Components
  - Diet
  - Exercise
  - Behavior Modification
- Can be done in a primary care practice – if time is limited, focus on one goal at a time